

Journal of Endovascular Therapy – A three-year follow-up study has shown that an **alternate treatment for obstructed arteries**

in the leg may be warranted. The results are from a clinical trial comparing primary nitinol stent implantation with balloon angioplasty. This examination of the study provides a long-term assessment of the two procedures, which is favorable for the primary stent.

An article in the [current issue](#) of the *Journal of Endovascular Therapy* reports on a 36-month follow-up of 161 patients in the RESILIENT randomized, multicenter trial. An accompanying commentary asks if these results are enough to mandate a change in practice that could bring with it a dramatic increase in treatment costs.

Patients in the RESILIENT study were treated for moderate-length lesions in the femoral and popliteal arteries. The superficial femoral artery provides blood flow to the leg, extending behind the knee where it becomes the popliteal artery. Patients with atherosclerosis experience blockage or narrowing of these arteries.

Balloon angioplasty is the more common treatment for this condition. In this study, angioplasty alone was compared to the Lifestent self-expanding stent (Bard Peripheral Vascular, Tempe, AZ, USA). After 36 months, no significant difference was found between the stent and angioplasty groups in survival or in occurrence of major adverse events. However, freedom from further intervention—target lesion revascularization (TLR)—was significantly better in the stent group (75.5 percent) compared to the angioplasty group (41.8 percent).

The original study showed that after 12 months, 87.3 percent of patients in the stent group remained free from TLR, while only 45.2 percent of the angioplasty group did. A post-hoc analysis of the original study compared primary stenting to balloon angioplasty *plus* provisional stenting. In this analysis, the difference between groups for freedom from TLR was less pronounced, although still favoring the primary stent (81.5 percent vs. 66.9 percent).

These results led the authors to conclude that primary implantation of a nitinol stent is associated with better long-term results than balloon angioplasty. However, the author of the commentary points out that no significant difference in freedom from TLR was found between primary stent and angioplasty plus provisional stenting at the 36-month follow-up, and that these procedures, rather than angioplasty alone, are the basis of current practice.

Study compares stents to angioplasty 36 months after initial treatment

Écrit par Journal of Endovascular Therapy
Vendredi, 10 Février 2012 15:05 -

Full text of the article, “ [Nitinol Stent Implantation vs. Balloon Angioplasty for Lesions in the Superficial Femoral and Proximal Popliteal Arteries of Patients With Claudication: Three-Year Follow-up From the RESILIENT Randomized Trial](#) ” and commentary “ [Three-Year Outcomes of the RESILIENT Trial: Are They Enough to Support a Primary Stenting Policy?](#) ”

Journal of Endovascular Therapy
, Vol. 19, No. 1, 2012, are available at
www.jevt.org.

About the *Journal of Endovascular Therapy*

The *Journal of Endovascular Therapy*, an official publication of the International Society of Endovascular Specialists, publishes peer-reviewed articles of interest to clinicians and researchers in the field of endovascular interventions. The *Journal's*

scope is multidisciplinary, representing all topics related to minimally invasive peripheral vascular diagnosis and treatment. Original clinical studies, experimental investigations, state-of-the-art reviews, rapid communications, case reports, technical notes, editorials, and letters to the editor are published, as well as feature articles on the basics of endovascular interventions. The journal is available online at

www.jevt.org

. To learn more about the society, please visit

www.isesonline.org

.

Study compares stents to angioplasty 36 months after initial treatment

Écrit par Journal of Endovascular Therapy

Vendredi, 10 Février 2012 15:05 -
